arXiv:0901.2754v2 [math.AP] 20 Jan 2009

The enclosure method for the heat equation

Masaru IKEHATA
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Engineering
Gunma University, Kiryu 376-8515, JAPAN
Mishio KAWASHITA
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Sciences
Hiroshima University, Higashi Hiroshima 739-8526, JAPAN

January 20, 2009

Abstract

This paper shows how the enclosure method which was originally introduced for elliptic equations can be applied to inverse initial boundary value problems for parabolic equations. For the purpose a prototype of inverse initial boundary value problems whose governing equation is the heat equation is considered. An explicit method to extract an approximation of the value of the support function at a given direction of unknown discontinuity embedded in a heat conductive body from the temperature for a suitable heat flux on the lateral boundary for a fixed observation time is given.

AMS: 35R30, 80A23
KEY WORDS: inverse initial boundary value problem, heat equation, parabolic equation, thermal imaging, cavity, corrosion, enclosure method

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to show how the *enclosure method* which was originally introduced for elliptic equations in [3] can be applied to inverse initial boundary value problems for parabolic equations in multi-dimensions. We present only a simple case just for the explanation of the idea, however, the same idea will work also for more general cases.

Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbf{R}^m$, $m=2,3$ with a smooth boundary. Let D be an open subset of Ω with a smooth boundary and satisfy that: $\overline{D}\subset\Omega$; $\Omega\setminus\overline{D}$ is connected. We denote the unit outward normal vectors to $\partial\Omega$ and ∂D by the same symbol ν. Let T be an arbitrary *fixed* positive number.

Given $f=f(x,t)$, $(x,t)\in\partial\Omega\times\,]0,\,T[$ let $u=u(x,t)$ be the solution of the initial boundary value problem for the heat equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t u - \triangle u = 0 \text{ in} \,(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}) \times]0,\, T[,\\
&\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on} \,\partial D \times]0,\, T[,\\
&\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = f \text{ on} \,\partial\Omega \times]0,\, T[,\\
&u(x, 0) = 0 \text{ in} \,\Omega \setminus \overline{D}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
$$

This paper considers the following problem for an explanation of the idea.

Inverse Problem. Assume that D is *unknown*. Extract information about the location and shape of D from the temperature $u(x,t)$ and heat flux $f(x,t)$ for $(x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times]0,\, T[$.

The set D is a model of the union of unknown *cavities* or subregions where the heat conductivity is very low compared with that of the surrounded region $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$. Thus the problem is a mathematical formulation of a typical inverse problem in thermal imaging. The method to solve this inverse problem may have possibility of application in nondestructive evaluation.

There are extensive studies on the uniqueness and stability issues on this kind of problems. See [9] and references therein for the issues. In this paper we are concerned with the reconstruction issue. Note that in [5] Ikehata studied this type of problems in one-space dimensional case by using the enclosure method. In [6] he raised several questions related to the method in multi-dimensional cases. However, it is still not clear that the method works also for two or three-space dimensional cases since the study in [5] fully makes use of the speciality of one-space dimension.

Our main result is the following formula.

Theorem 1.1. *Given $\omega \in S^{m-1}$ let f be the function of $(x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times]0,\, T[$ having a parameter $\tau > 0$ defined by the equation*

$$
f(x,t;\tau) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x)\varphi(t), \tag{1.2}
$$

where $v(x) = e^{\sqrt{\tau} x \cdot \omega}$ and $\varphi \in L^2(0,\, T)$ satisfying the condition: there exists $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$
\liminf_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \tau^{\mu} \left| \int_0^T e^{-\tau t} \varphi(t) dt \right| > 0. \tag{1.3}
$$

Let $u_f = u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1) for $f = f(x,t;\tau)$ and $h_D(\omega) = \sup_{x \in D} x \cdot \omega$. Then the formula

$$
\lim_{\tau \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}} \log \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_0^T e^{-\tau t} \left(v(x) f(x,t;\tau) - u_f(x,t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x) \right) dt dS \right| = h_D(\omega), \tag{1.4}
$$

is valid.

Note that: if $\varphi(t)$ is smooth on $[0,\, T-\delta[$ with $0 < \delta < T$ and $t = 0$ is not a zero point with infinite order of $\varphi(t)$, then (1.3) is satisfied for an appropriate $\mu > 0$.

In [5] Ikehata considered the corresponding problem in one-space dimension for the equation $\partial_t u = (\gamma u_x)_x$ with a smooth γ. Theorem 1.1 can be considered as an extension of (2) of Theorem 2.5 in [5] in the case $\gamma = 1$ to two and three-space dimensional cases. Note that therein the parameter τ^2 plays a role of τ in Theorem 1.1.

A brief outline of this paper is as follows. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Subsection 2.2. The proof is based on an integral identity which is described in Subsubsection 2.2.1. Using the identity, we give an asymptotic representation formula of the integral

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x)f(x,t;\tau) - u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x)\right) dtdS$$

together with an estimate of the leading term which is an integral involving two Neumann-to-Dirichlet maps for the operator $\triangle - \tau$. The estimate of the remainder term is described in Subsubsection 2.2.2 as Lemma 2.1 and that of the leading term is found in the same subsubsection as Lemma 2.2. To establish Lemma 2.1 we require an energy estimate of $u_f(\,\cdot\,,T)$: this together with the meaning of the weak solution of (1.1) is found in Subsection 2.1. In Section 3 we show how Theorem 1.1 can be extended also to extract another information about D, that is the distance from a given p outside Ω to D.

2 The enclosure method

In this section firstly we specify what we mean by the weak solution of (1.1) and describe a necessary estimate. Secondly by giving the proof of Theorem 1.1 we show how the enclosure method can be applied to the heat equation.

2.1 Preliminaries about the direct problem.

We follow [1]. For two separable Hilbert spaces V and H with $V \subset H \subset V'$, and a positive number T, the space $W(0,T;V,V')$ is defined by $W(0,T;V,V') = \{u \,|\, u \in L^2(0,T;V), u' \in L^2(0,T;V')\}$. Note that u' means the derivative in $t \in]0,\, T[$.

Given $f \in L^2(0,\, T; H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))$ we say that $u \in W(0,\, T; H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}), (H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}))')$ satisfy

$$\begin{gathered}\partial_t u - \triangle u = 0 \text{ in } (\Omega \setminus \overline{D})\times]0,\, T[,\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\times]0,\, T[,\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = f \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times]0,\, T[\end{gathered}\tag{2.1}$$

in the weak sense if the u satisfies

$$< u'(t), \varphi > + \int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}} \nabla u(x,t)\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)dx =< f(t),\, \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} > \text{ in } (0,\, T),\tag{2.2}$$

in the sense of distribution on $(0,\, T)$ for all $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$.

Note that by Theorem 1 on p.473 in [1] we see that every $u \in W(0,\, T; H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}), (H^1(\Omega\setminus\overline{D}))')$ is almost everywhere equal to a continuous function of $[0,\, T]$ in $L^2(\Omega\setminus\overline{D})$. Further, we have:

$$W(0,\, T; H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}), (H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}))') \hookrightarrow C^0([0,\, T]; L^2(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})),\tag{2.3}$$

the space $C^0([0,\, T]; L^2(\Omega \setminus \overline{D}))$ being equipped with the norm of uniform convergence. Thus one can consider $u(0)$ and $u(T)$ as elements of $L^2(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$. Then by Theorems 1 and 2 on p.512 and 513 in [1] we see that given $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega \setminus \overline{D})$ there exists a unique u such that u satisfies (2.1) in the weak sense and satisfies the initial condition $u(0) = u_0$.

Let $u_0 = 0$. By Remark 2 on p.512 and Theorem 3 on p.520 in [1] we have the continuity of u on f: there exists a $C_T > 0$ independent of f such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega\setminus\overline{D}))} \le C_T \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))}. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, from (2.2) and (2.4) we have

$$\|u'\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega\setminus\overline{D})')} \le C_T \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))}.$$

This together with (2.3) and (2.4) yields one of the important estimates in the enclosure method:

$$|u(T)|_{L^2(\Omega\setminus\overline{D})} \le C_T \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega))}. \tag{2.5}$$

In the following subsection we denote by u_f the weak solution of (2.1) with $u(0) = 0$ and this is the meaning of the weak solution of (1.1).

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Define

$$w_f(x;\tau) = \int_0^T e^{-\tau t} u_f(x,t)dt,\ x \in \Omega$$

and

$$g_f(x;\tau) = \int_0^T e^{-\tau t} f(x,t)dt,\ x \in \partial\Omega,$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a parameter. This type of transform has been used in the study [5] for the corresponding problem in a one-space dimensional case.

2.2.1 The basic identity

The function $w_f = w$ satisfies

$$(\triangle - \tau)w = e^{-\tau T} u_f(x,T) \text{ in}\, \Omega \setminus \overline{D},$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on}\, \partial D,$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = g_f \text{ on}\, \partial\Omega.$$

Let $v = v(x)$ satisfy $(\triangle - \tau)v = 0$ in Ω. Integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g_f v - w_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right) dS = -\int_{\partial D} w_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dS + e^{-\tau T} \int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}} u_f(x,T) v(x) dx. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $p_f = p$ be the unique solution of the boundary value problem:

$$(\triangle - \tau)p = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D},$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu} = g_f \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$$

Set $\epsilon_f = w_f - p_f$. Since we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g_f v - p_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right) dS = - \int_{\partial D} p_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dS,$$

from (2.6) we obtain the basic identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g_f v - w_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right) dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g_f v - p_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right) dS \\ &- \int_{\partial D} \epsilon_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dS + e^{-\tau T} \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} u_f(x, T) v(x) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Note that $\epsilon_f = \epsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\triangle - \tau)\epsilon &= e^{-\tau T} u_f(x, T) \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}, \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

2.2.2 Two lemmas

Now we choose a special f having the form:

$$f(x, t; \tau) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x) \varphi(t), \ (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times]0\, T[, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\varphi \in L^2(0, T)$.

Since

$$g_f(x; \tau) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x) \int_0^T e^{-\tau t} \varphi(t) dt,$$

we have the expression of the first term of the right hand side of (2.7):

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g_f v - p_f \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right) dS = \int_0^T e^{-\tau t} \varphi(t) dt \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} (R_\emptyset(\tau) - R_D(\tau)) \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dS, \tag{2.10}$$

where $R_\emptyset(\tau)$ and $R_D(\tau)$ are the Neumann-to-Dirichlet maps on $\partial\Omega$ for the operator $\triangle - \tau$ in Ω and $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$ with the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition on ∂D, respectively.

Hereafter we choose a special v in (2.9) which is a solution of the equation $(\triangle-\tau)v=0$ in $\mathbf{R}^m$:

$$v(x)=e^{\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega},$$

where $\omega\in S^{m-1}$ and $\tau>0$. Thus, we choose $f(x,t;\tau)$ as described in (1.2).

The following is an easy consequence of the estimate (2.5), an a priori estimate for (2.8) and the growth order of v as $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$.

Lemma 2.1. *We have, as* $\tau\longrightarrow\infty$

$$-\int_{\partial D}\epsilon_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}dS+e^{-\tau T}\int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}}u_f(x,T)v(x)dx=O(\tau^{\gamma}e^{-\tau T}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}h_{\Omega}(\omega)})$$

where γ *is a constant independent of* τ *and* $h_{\Omega}(\omega)=\sup_{x\in\Omega}x\cdot\omega$.

The integral in (1.4) is just the left-hand side of (2.7). This fact, (2.10) and Lemma 2.1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x)f(x,t;\tau)-u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x)\right)dtdS\\&=\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\varphi(t)dt\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(R_{\emptyset}(\tau)-R_D(\tau))\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}dS+O(\tau^{\gamma}e^{-\tau T}e^{2\sqrt{\tau}h_{\Omega}(\omega)}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

Thus, the main contribution on the limit (1.4) is the term given by two Neumann-to-Dirichlet maps. For this term we need the following estimates from the both sides:

Lemma 2.2. *There exist* $\mu_1,\mu_2\in\mathbf{R}$, $\tau_0>0$, $C_1>0$ *and* $C_2>0$ *such that, for all* $\tau>\tau_0$

$$C_1\tau^{\mu_1}\le e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}h_D(\omega)}\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(R_{\emptyset}(\tau)-R_D(\tau))\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}dS\right|\le C_2\tau^{\mu_2}.$$

From Lemma 2.2, (2.11) and (1.3), we have formula (1.4) in Theorem 1.1. Note that in Lemma 2.2 the lower estimate is essential and the strict values of μ_1 and μ_2 are not important to obtain formula (1.4).

The proof of Lemma 2.2 given below follows the argument in the enclosure method applied to the Hemlmholtz equation $(\triangle+k^2)u=0$ [3, 4]. It became much simpler compared with that for the Helmholtz equation since our operator $\triangle-\tau$ is strictly negative for $\tau>0$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Consider the solution $R(x)$ of the following boundary value problem:

$$(\triangle-\tau)R=0\text{ in }\Omega\setminus\overline{D},$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial\nu}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}\text{ on }\partial D,$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial\nu}=0\text{ on }\partial\Omega.$$

Then we have, given $\eta>0$

$$|R|_{H^1(\Omega\setminus\overline{D})}\le C(|\nabla v|_{L^2(D)}+\tau|v|_{L^2(D)})$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of $\tau > \eta$. Noting that $R_\emptyset(\tau)(\partial v/\partial\nu) = v$, $R_D(\tau)(\partial v/\partial\nu) = v - R$ on $\partial\Omega$ and the functions R and v are real-valued, we have the integral identity

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(R_\emptyset(\tau) - R_D(\tau))\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu} dS$$

$$= \int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}} |\nabla R|^2 dx + \tau\int_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}} |R|^2 dx + \int_D |\nabla v|^2 dx + \tau\int_D |v|^2 dx.$$

A combination of them gives

$$2\tau\int_D e^{2\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega} dx \le \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(R_\emptyset(\tau) - R_D(\tau))\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu} dS \le C_2\tau^3\int_D e^{2\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega} dx.$$

Now the conclusion follows from the corresponding estimate for the integral of $e^{2\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega}$ over D (cf. Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 in [3]).
□

3 The use of another v

It is possible to use different v from the exponential solution. In [7] it is shown that: given $p \in \mathbf{R}^m \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ one can construct a $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ depending on $\tau > 0$ and satisfying the equation $(\triangle - \tau)v = 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$v(x) = e^{-\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}\left\{|x-p|^{-(m-1)/2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right)\right\}.$$

Note that the leading term never vanish on $\overline{\Omega}$; in the case when $m = 3$, one can drop the term $O(1/\sqrt{\tau})$ in the v above.

Using this v, we obtain the following formula.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \in \mathbf{R}^m \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and replace v of f in (1.2) with the v above. Let $u_f = u_f(x,t)$ be the weak solution of (1.1) for this $f = f(x,t;\tau)$. Then assuming (1.3), one has the formula*

$$\lim_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x)f(x,t;\tau) - u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\nu}(x)\right) dt dS\right| = -d_D(p),$$

where $d_D(p)$ denotes the distance from p to D,

$$d_D(p) = \inf\{|y-p| \mid y \in D\}.$$

Proceeding once more by the method used to prove (1.4), one knows that the key of the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the following lower estimate of the integral of $e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}$ over D.

Proposition 3.2. *There exists $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$\liminf_{\tau\longrightarrow\infty} \tau^\mu e^{2\sqrt{\tau}d_D(p)}\int_D e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|} dx > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in \partial D$ such that $d_D(p) = |x_0 - p|$. Since ∂D is smooth, one can find an open ball B with $x_0 \in \partial B$ such that $B \subset D$. Since the integrand is nonnegative, it suffices to prove (3.1) in the case when $D = B$. We observe that

$$|\nabla e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}| = 2\sqrt{\tau}e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}.$$

Using the co-area formula (Theorem 2.7.1. on page 76 in [10]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|}dx &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\int_0^\infty H^{m-1}(\{x \in B \,|\, e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}|x-p|} = t\})dt \\ &= \int_{d_D(p)}^\infty H^{m-1}(\{x \in B \,|\, |x-p| = s\})e^{-2\sqrt{\tau}s}ds,\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where H^{m-1} denotes the $m-1$ dimensional Housdorff measure. Since for appropriate $\mu' > 0$ and $C > 0$ $H^{m-1}(\{x \in B \,|\, |x-p| = s\}) \ge C(s - d_D(p))^{\mu'}$ as $s \downarrow d_D(p)$, from (3.2) we obtain the desired estimate.
□

4 Conclusion and Remarks

The procedure of extracting the support function of D is extremely simple and summarized as follows.
(i) Give the direction $\omega \in S^{m-1}$. Fix a large $\tau > 0$ and give the heat flux across $\partial\Omega$ over the time interval $]0,\, T[$:

$$f(x,t;\tau) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x)\varphi(t),\ (x,t) \in \partial\Omega\times]0,\, T[,$$

where $v(x) = e^{\sqrt{\tau}x\cdot\omega}$ and $\varphi(t)$ satisfies (1.3) for a μ.
(ii) Measure the temperature $u_f(x,t)$ on $\partial\Omega$ over the time interval $]0,\, T[$.
(iii) Compute the quantity

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\log\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\int_0^T e^{-\tau t}\left(v(x)f(x,t;\tau) - u_f(x,t)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x)\right)dtdS\right|$$

as an approximation of $h_D(\omega)$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1 we have a *constructive* proof of the uniqueness of recovering the convex hull of D from infinitely many sets of the temperature and heat flux on $\partial\Omega$ over the time interval $]0,\, T[$. Thus our result can be considered as an extension of the enclosure method of *infinitely* many measurements version [3].

The method will cover also more general cases without serious difficulty: inclusion, parabolic equations with variable coefficients, Robin boundary condition. And it may be possible to apply our method to the corresponding problems for hyperbolic equations and systems, Stokes system, some governing equations in thermoelasticity, Maxwell systems, etc. Such cases will be reported in detail in forthcoming papers.

Note that in [8] we have already applied the enclosure method of a *single* measurement version [2] to the problem in three-dimensions. It means that therein the heat flux f is *fixed* and independent of large parameter τ. The information extracted therein is different from the information obtained in this paper. The analysis developed therein is based on the potential theory and quite delicate. See also [7] for an application of the enclosure method to an inverse source problem for the heat equation in multi-space dimensions.

Acknowledgements

MI was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(No. 18540160) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. MK was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)(No. 19540183) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

[1] Dautray, R. and Lions, J-L., Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for sciences and technology, Evolution problems I, Vol. **5**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[2] Ikehata, M., Enclosing a polygonal cavity in a two-dimensional bounded domain from Cauchy data, Inverse Problems, **15**(1999), 1231-1241.

[3] Ikehata, M., Reconstruction of the support function for inclusion from boundary measurements, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, **8**(2000), 367-378.

[4] Ikehata, M., How to draw a picture of an unknown inclusion from boundary measurements. Two mathematical inversion algorithms, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems, **7**(1999), 255-271.

[5] Ikehata, M., Extracting discontinuity in a heat conductive body. One-space dimensional case, Applicable Analysis, **86**(2007), no. 8, 963-1005.

[6] Ikehata, M., Virtual signal in the heat equation and the enclosure method, Inverse Problems in Applied Sciences-towards breakthrough-, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **73** (2007)012010.

[7] Ikehata, M., An inverse source problem for the heat equation and the enclosure method, Inverse Problems, **23**(2007), 183-202.

[8] Ikehata, M. and Kawashita, M., An inverse problem for a three-dimensional heat equation in thermal imaging and the enclosure method, preprint, 2008.

[9] Vessella, S., Quantitative estimates of unique continuation for parabolic equations, determination of unknown time-varying boundaries and optimal stability estimates, Topical Review, Inverse Problems, **24**(2008)023001(81pp).

[10] Ziemer, W.P., Weakly differentiable functions, Graduate texts in mathematics, **120**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

e-mail address
ikehata@math.sci.gunma-u.ac.jp
kawasita@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp